

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weshington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
		_	EXAMINER	
07/980,547	11/23/92	BRINON	T MS-335.933	
			PAHT-UNITA M PAPER NUMBER	
JOSEPH KRIE	EGER	33M1 -	٦	
MASON, KOLE	EHMAINEN, RAT	HBURN & WYSS	TE MAILED:	
	This is @bb/mildisa@af46n(the examiner in charge of your application. 3306			
COMMISSIPPLEBERATENTI	S <b>GNAMENABRA</b> CO P		04/27/93	
П <del>ж</del> ы			22	
☐ This application has bee		Responsive to communication filed on 11/1		
A shortened statutory period		on is set to expire month(s)	days from the date of this letter.	
resure to respond within the	e period for response will	cause the application to become abandoned.	35 U.S.C. 133	
		PART OF THIS ACTION:		
Notice of Reference     Notice of Art City	nces Cited by Examiner, I	PTO-892. 2. Notice re Pat	ent Drawing, PTO-948. rmal Patent Application, Form PTO-152.	
	low to Effect Drawing Cha	inges, PTO-1474. <b>6.</b>		
Part II SUMMARY OF	ACTION			
1. Claims	1-2>		are pending in the application	
. U danis			are pending in the application	
Of the abo	ove, claims		are withdrawn from consideration	
2. Ctalms			have been cancelled.	
3. Claims			are allowed.	
4. Claims			are rejected.	
5. Ctalms				
b. U Claims			are objected to.	
6. Claims	1-2>	ere s	ubject to restriction or election requirement.	
7.   This application i	has been filed with inform	al drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are ac	ceptable for examination purposes.	
8. D Formal drawings	are required in response	to this Office action.		
9. The corrected or	substitute drawings have	been received on		
are 🗆 accepts	ble. not acceptable (	see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, F	TO-948).	
10.  The proposed ad	ditional or substitute she	et(s) of drawings, filed on	has (have) been annound by the	
examiner. 🔲 di	sapproved by the examin	er (see explanation).	approved by the	
11. The proposed dri	awing correction, filed on	, has been D approve	d. disapproved (see explanation).	
			as Deen received not been received	
	parent application, serial			
		, "	1	
accordance with	stion appears to be in con the practice under Ex par	dition for allowance except for formal matters te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	, prosecution as to the merits is closed in	
14. Other				

-2-

Serial No. 980,547

Art Unit 336

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Figs. 1-3;
Figs. 4-6;
Fig. 13;
Fig. 14;
Fig. 15;
Fig. 16;
Fig. 17;
Fig. 18; or Fig. 19.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or

Serial No. 980,547

Art Unit 336

admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

Applicant must further elect a single plug: concave; convex or conical.

A telephone call was made to Mr. J. Krieger on 4/15/93 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark O. Polutta at telephone number (703) 308-0058.

M.O. Polutta:lf April 20, 1993

MARK O. POLUTTA